ROTARY GRANT EVALUATION

Project name

	Weak	Questionable	Average	Strong		
Criteria	1	2	3	5		Score
Grant has a clear goal and fulfills a critical need	Grant is duplicating existing resources	Grant is filling a modest need or provides a quality of life benefit for a nominal number of people.	Grant is filling an important but not critical need; or provides a quality of life benefit to a modest number of people;or provides some service options to at-risk or vulnerable population	Grant will meet a significant need; or provide a quality of life benefit to a large segment of the community; or provide a critical service to at-risk or vulnerable population	Х6	
Grant shows evidence of clear planning and leadership in place	Grant is unorganized and shows little evidencce of leadership	Grant shows moderate signs of being organized and leadership is identified	Grant is well organized with leadership on-board with project goals	Grant shows evidence of strong organizational support with volunteer support identified. Other funding sources have been sought to support the grant.	X4	
Budget and Funding	No budget information available or incomplete budget	shows weak	Budget clearly matches narrative and leaves little question of how funds will be used; sufficient diversity of funding	Budget shows a high level of expertise and understanding of the grant needs; funding is highly diverse and well supported	Х4	
Grant clearly supports Rotary's current goals in the area of housing, education/sc holarships, or parks.	Grant will make little impact on Rotary's ability to accomplish their goals	Grant will have a modest impact on Rotary's ability to accomplish their goals.		Grant will have a great impact on Rotary's ability to accomplish their goals. The grant provides a direct avenue for Rotarians to become involved in the project.	Х6	

FUNDING RECOMMENDATION ______ TOTAL POINTS _____