Climate disasters in first half of 2025 costliest ever on record, research shows

LA wildfires and storms this year cost \$101bn so far, new study by non-profit resurrecting work axed by Trump

Oliver Milman, and graphics by Andrew Witherspoon 22 Oct 2025

The first half of 2025 was the costliest on record for major disasters in the US, driven by huge wildfires in Los Angeles and storms that battered much of the rest of the country, according to a climate non-profit that has resurrected work axed by Donald Trump's administration that tracked the biggest disasters.

In the first six months of this year, 14 separate weather-related disasters that each caused at least \$1bn in damage hit the US, the <u>Climate Central</u> group has calculated. In total, these events cost \$101bn in damages – lost homes, businesses, highways and other infrastructure – a toll higher than any other first half of a year since records on this began in 1980.

The bulk of this toll was caused by the ferocious wildfires that razed parts of Los Angeles in January, a disaster that destroyed about 16,000 buildings and resulted in the indirect deaths of around 400 people. At \$61bn in damages, the LA fires are one of the most expensive climate-related disasters on record in the US, and the only top 10 event that is not a hurricane.

The mounting cost of fires, storms, hurricanes, drought and floods – all worsened by the human-caused climate crisis – was charted over the previous 45 years by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), until the Trump administration "retired" the dataset in May, citing "evolving priorities, statutory mandates and staffing changes".

Information on billion-dollar disasters until the end of 2024 is <u>still available</u>, <u>frozen</u>, on NOAA's website, but Climate Central has sought to extend this work, citing its importance as a barometer of the climate crisis as well as a planning resource for cities and states facing increasing dangers from extreme weather impacts.

Over the past four decades, such disasters have become far more savage. The cost of all disasters between 1985 and 1995 was \$299bn, a figure dwarfed by the damages of the past decade – with \$1.4tn in losses between 2014 and last year.

"This dataset is simply too important to not be updated, we were getting requests from the private sector, local communities and academia for this information," said Adam Smith, who headed the billion-dollar disaster project at NOAA, before ending his 20-year spell at the agency in May amid a purge of the federal workforce by Trump.

Smith, who has now revived this work at Climate Central, said the latest dataset was compiled using the same methodology as NOAA. "We are certainly seeing more of these big, costly

events, since 2017 it's been on an entirely different level," he said. "Climate change is supercharging the intensity and frequency of these extremes.

"Climate Central is trying to step into the void of information to recover some of the lost expertise and tools that society needs access to. We are in a sort of triage situation where we are trying to save and continue as much as we can. We are doing the best we can to do this."

The initial Climate Central update ends in June, meaning it doesn't capture July's <u>deadly floods</u> <u>in Texas</u>, which killed more than 130 people, including young girls camping beside a river that burst its banks.

Also, so far this year the US has not been hit by a major, destructive hurricane, meaning that 2025 is currently not on track to be among the most costly on record by year's end. The lack of hurricanes making landfall is fortunate, experts have said, particularly as the Trump administration has sought to dismantle the disaster response that Americans have come to take for granted.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has <u>slashed staff numbers</u>, with Trump <u>demanding</u> states, rather than the federal government, shoulder the fallout from major disasters.

The agency has faced criticism over its <u>leadership</u>, its sluggish <u>response times</u> to disasters and for the politically motivated withholding of its funds – last week, a federal judge <u>ruled</u> that FEMA could not halt grants to Democratic-run states due to differences over immigration policies.

This is a "tremendous concern" given the growing threat from disasters that are being worsened by the climate crisis, as well as population shifts to risky areas such as Florida and the failure to update infrastructure to cope with a warming world, according to Samantha Montano, an expert in emergency management at the Massachusetts Maritime Academy.

"No part of the country is being left unscathed, but unfortunately FEMA has backslid to becoming a dysfunctional and ineffectual agency," Montano said. Improvements made to FEMA since Hurricane Katrina in 2005 have been wiped out under Trump, Montano added, leaving local and state agencies unsure of how to cope when disasters strike.

"FEMA has fired people who have unique expertise who can't just be hired back at five hours' notice, so even if the administration wanted to respond effectively to a disaster, I'm not sure it's possible for them to do so," she said.

"We've got extremely lucky we've not had any bigger disasters than we've already had this year.

We are basically sitting ducks for when the next major disaster hits."

Article link w graphs: https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2025/oct/22/climate-disasters-2025-

<u>cost?utm_term=68fa0bea28fb58e129b5e1516cab27ca&utm_campaign=DownToEarth&utm_so_urce=esp&utm_medium=Email&CMP=greenlight_email_</u>