Implementing the Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor Regeneration Plan

Update to the Rotary Sunrise Group

May 2025





TODAY'S SESSION.

Context and overview

A quick overview of the Corridor's history, the Regeneration Plan and our delivery programme

Progress to date and challenges faced

Milestones achieved so far, work in progress and a look at what's made implementation difficult on the ground.

Science-based solutions

How we are using science to overcome the site's challenges, and create broader benefits

Funding discussion

An overview of the financials of the project, and how we are aiming to reduce the burden on the ratepayer.

Where to from here

An overview of our likely next steps and programme



CONTEXT + OVERVIEW





ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT.



CONTEXT LAND DAMAGE AND THE RED ZONING PROCESS.

Effects of the 2010-2011 Canterbury earthquake sequence were particularly pronounced in alluvial and coastal soils, particularly those surrounding the Otakaro-Avon river.

Damaging effects in these areas mostly stemmed from liquefaction, lateral spread (cracking) and resultant flooding/drainage issues. The impacts meant that damage was not limited to buildings themselves but rather that the land underneath it was no longer suitable for the type and standard of development that it supported.



 (\bigcirc)

 $(\bigcirc$

 $(\bigcirc$

 (\bigcirc)

 (\bigcirc)

8000 homes red zoned in total due to land damage caused in the 2010-2011 earthquake sequence.

7400 of these were in the flatlands; including Kaiapoi, Brooklands, South Shore and the Ōtākaro-Avon River Corridor.

5400 individual properties currently make up the Ōtākaro-Avon River Corridor.

602 hectares of open space created along the Ōtākaro-Avon river corridor as a result.

The Ōtākaro-Avon River Corridor subsided significantly during the quakes, by an average of **500mm**

To deal with sea level rise, permanent stopbank levels are planned to be around **1m** higher than the interim ones.



SETTING THE SCENE OBJECTIVES OF THE REGENERATION PLAN



SETTING THE SCENE THE OARC 'GREENPRINT'.



WHY THIS MATTERS



Climate Adaptation/Resilience

- Delivers a major **flood defence system** (22km stopbanks, pump stations) designed for long-term • resilience, protecting thousands of homes from river flooding and future sea-level rise impacts
- Restores **floodplain capacity** by setting stopbanks back

Improved Water Quality (Ōtākaro & Ihutai/Estuary):

٠ treating urban stormwater runoff before it enters the Ōtākaro Avon River.

Enhanced Biodiversity/Habitat

- Nationally significant restoration of intertidal vegetation (saltmarsh, brackish wetlands) within an urban context, creating vital habitat lost elsewhere due to development and earthquakes.
- Provides space for intertidal habitats to migrate to as sea levels rise.
- Creates/restores **habitat** supporting numerous threatened, at-risk, and Ngāi Tahu Taonga species (e.g., Bittern, White Heron, Inanga, wetland birds).

Economic Benefits

- Direct economic stimulus through construction.
- Creates a major regional tourism asset, attracting visitors and supporting local businesses.
- Enables limited **new housing** opportunities on the corridor edge and designated trial housing areas
- Fosters **innovation** in cost-effective land remediation practices with potential wider applications.

Community Wellbeing

- Improves **connectivity** between communities and open spaces
- Provides increased local recreational opportunities, supporting healthy lifestyles
- Creates **community facilities**, fostering social connections and bump spaces for residents.

Cultural Values

- supports Taonga species and culturally significant ecosystems like wetlands and the Ihutai estuary.
- Strengthens partnership with Te Ngāi Tūāhuiriri in design, implementation, monitoring and governance, reflecting Treaty principles.

Creates extensive **stormwater management infrastructure** (detention ponds, treatment wetlands),

Potential **uplift in value** for 25 000 surrounding properties due to improved resilience and amenities

Restored habitat/improved water quality, supports future **mahinga kai practices** (key objective). Also





DELIVERY TO DATE AND WORK IN PROGRESS





COMPLETED PROJECTS WALKING + CYCLING BRIDGES



COMPLETED PROJECTS DALLINGTON LANDING



COMPLETED PROJECTS (PARTIAL) WAITAKI STOPBANK AND TIDAL WETLAND





DELIVERY COMPLEXITY AND UNDERLYING CAUSES.

FORMER POWER NETWORK, SOME STILL **NEEDED BUT CANT BE IN FLOODPLAIN**

> GROUND WATER LEVELS VERY CLOSE TO SURFACE, VARIABLE SEASONALLY

COAL TAR AT VARYING DEPTHS IN ROAD BASES

CUT AND FILL BALANCE, FUTURE EARTHWORKING

VANDALISM AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR

PROPERTY OWNERSHIP UNRESOLVED IN PLACES

SPECIFIC CONTAMINATED EX-INDUSTRIAL SITES



COMPACTION AND LACK OF FERTILITY IN MANY AREAS DUE TO FORMER HARDSTAND/BUILDING PLATFORMS

CCA, ASBESTOS, LEAD AND HEAVY METALS VARIOUSLY IN RESIDENTIAL SOILS

COMPLEX PLANNING/REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

DELIVERY PARKS PROJECTS UNDERWAY



DELIVERY THREE WATERS + TRANSPORT PROJECTS UNDERWAY



DETAILED DESIGN

CONSTRUCTION

DETAILED DESIGN

CONSENTING

DELIVERY 200 000+ NATIVE PLANTS THIS SEASON



LAKE KATE SHEPPARD (CVNZ)

To date. we have managed planting of around 50 000 plants per season, so this is a significant increase which we expect to sustain.

CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY AVON PARK, EST COMPLETION AUGUST



CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY CITY TO SEA PATHWAY (WEST), EST COMPLETION JUNE



CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY DALLINGTON BRIDGE, EST COMPLETION AUGUST





CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY DALLINGTON BRIDGE



CONSTRUCTION UNDERWAY GOODMAN STREET WETLAND, EST COMPLETION SEPT



NEXT UP BROOKER BRIDGE (CONSTRUCTION ABOUT TO START)



NEXT UP LAKE KATE SHEPPARD/BOWER PARK (CONSULTATION UNDERWAY)

SITE PERSPECTIVE



- - Primary pathway through site
- (1)Expanded wetlands
- 2 Bower Park sports fields
- 3 Play space
- 4 Car parking
- (5) Fitness circuit pathway
- 6 Driveway access
- $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ Mounded lookout + activity node
- 8 Pump track / bike skills area
- 9 Bike track through planting
- 10 Forest buffer planting
- 11 Existing community planting
- 12 'Wetland ribbons'
- Location of 'Ground Perspective View A' (following pages) (A)
- Location of 'Ground Perspective B View B' (following pages)



3W PROJECTS COMING UP WAITAKI STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AREA



3W PROJECTS COMING UP WAINONI SW TREATMENT



3W PROJECTS COMING UP WAITAKI-WAINONI STOPBANK





DELIVERY CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS





THE CHALLENGE TRADITIONAL CONSENTING COMPLEXITY.

- Scale & scope: Huge area, multiple interacting activities over 30+ years.
- **Piecemeal consenting issues:** Time consuming, expensive (\$50m+ potential cost), resource intensive, risk of delays, inconsistent conditions.
- Specific consenting hurdles encountered:

0.15

- **Contamination** (interpretation, cost of DSIs, disposal challenges, relatively low levels/high consenting impacts).
- Water issues (Groundwater takes/interception, naturalising wetlands, ecotoxicity concerns hard to resolve.
- **High consent cost** relative to physical works (Goodman St example).



THE SOLUTION CORRIDOR-WIDE FAST TRACK CONSENT.

- Scope of Application: OARC boundaries + necessary external areas (Bexley fill, stopbank tie-ins)
- Key Approvals Sought:
- **Territorial Authority (CCC) Consents:** Land use consents covering earthworks (volume/area), construction noise/vibration, lighting, transport effects, natural hazard considerations (esp. development within flood management areas), compliance with specific OARC zone rules (setbacks, landscape), impacts on biodiversity/trees, potential heritage interactions.
- **Regional Council (ECan) Consents:** Discharges (stormwater construction & operational, dust, dewatering contaminants), Water Permits (surface & groundwater takes passive/active, damming/diversion), Land Use Consents (works in/near water beds/margins, wetlands under NPS-FM/NES-F, potentially contaminated land disturbance, CMA activities), Coastal Permits (structures, occupation, disturbance in CMA).
- Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014: Archaeological Authorities
- Wildlife Act 1953 (DoC): Primarily wildlife permits.
- **Benefit:** The fast-track process allows these multiple approvals, normally sought sequentially or in parallel from different agencies with varying timeframes, to be considered holistically.



THE CHALLENGE RED ZONE SOIL FERTILITY

- **Compacted:** Much was under houses/driveways for decades, and was further compacted during the demolition process.
- Low nutrients: Many areas of sandy soil, or building sites where the topsoil was stripped for construction. Many soilds very low in organic content.
- Hydrophobic soils: The combination of the above leads in places to the odd situation where our former wetland soils are hydrophobic, and irrigation is needed.
- Weed species: The soil conditions favour weed species over native plants, and with an infinite surrounding weed seed bank, keeping weeds from outcompeting natives is challenging.
- **Outcome:** It is difficult to establish planting in red zone soils. This affects both initial planting, and their ongoing maintenance and survival. Growth rates are slower than typical planting projects, and failure rates are higher.



THE SOLUTION TAILORED SITE PREPARATION, SPECIFIC TO THE RED ZONE

- Industrial tilling: Hectare-scale tilling of the soil to 400mm deep, breaks up rubble and gravels. Works weeds deep into the profile, so initial spraying not required
- Soil enhancements: Incorporation of mulch (organic material), biochar (carbon) and humates (improve structure, add microbes) into the profile
- Drone seeding native herbs: Solanum (Poroporo) and other native herbaceous species seeded by drone to act as a 'living mulch'. These are quick growing and in the improved soil conditions should compete with exotic weeds.
- **Result:** Trials underway at Dallington Loop. Initial results looks very promising, and we plan to roll this out more broadly. Costs are less than half of traditional soil improvement approaches, and we believe this will give us a better outcome.



THE CHALLENGE ROAD BASE, WATER AND SOIL CONTAMINATION

- **Road bases:** Most roads in Christchurch built pre-1980 have layers of coal tar in their base. This is a carcinogen, which needs to be disposed of to a managed landfill at great cost. In the red zone, these layers were mixed up in the earthquake.
- General soils: Ex-residential soils generally contaminated with lead (paint), oils and hydrocarbons (automotive work), CCA (treated timber), asbestos (building materials), spray residue and other heavy metals. This is hard to find as it happened organically over time.
- Water: Water in the streams and waterways is of poor quality, with the Avon receiving an overall score of 'D' in its latest round of reporting, signalling moderate to sever pollution (both organic and inorganic).

While this is not ideal, our living laboratory objective encourages us to take an approach that resolves these for the good of the city more broadly



THE SOLUTION INNOVATIONS AND TRIALS, INCLUDING SCALEABILITY

COAL TAR BIOREMEDIATION

Taking all of our road-base coal tar to landfill will result in thousands of truck movements, and waste a valuable resource. A second phase of trialling is being scoped, investigating the use of maturing compost and bacteria to bioremediate this product off-site for re-use.

Scaleability: Coal tar is dumped to landfill nationally - significant nationwide (global?) application, reducing carbon in construction. Cost savings, and potential income stream.

SOIL PHYTOREMEDIATION

Working with University of Canterbury, we are conducting field trials to phytoremediate the heavy metals in the soils using several native and exotic species. This shows excellent promise. Phytoremediation of asbestos is not possible due to its nature, which limits applicability somewhat.

Scaleability: Contaminated soil is dumped to landfill nationally - again significant nationwide (global?) application, reducing carbon in construction. Cost savings.

WATER QUALITY

While not a magic bullet, we are investigating 'quick win' trials using floating biochar filters to reduce contaminant loads in our waterways.

Scaleability: Reasonably limited as it will not deal with all contamination, but given its low cost it may still provide good bang for buck. It may also allow us to reduce the size of our stormwater management areas, which would be a cost saving.









FUNDING LONG TERM PLAN AND INFRASTRUCTURE STRATEGY



Most of this funding will be spent back in the local economy.

Group of Activities

- Wastewater
- Flood Protection & Control Works
- Transport
- Parks, Heritage and Coastal Environment

BUDGET CONSIDERATIONS DETAILED POINTS

- global consents
- may be required to slow down the phasing
- to start using rates funding until 2027.
- will reduce CAPEX more broadly.
- housing and activity zones set out in the Plan.

3W and Transport Components Generally non-negotiable driven by engineering parameters and the requirements of

• Parks Components: What is in the current LTP should be sufficient to complete the Parks component, although we

• External funding: Just under one third of the funding for the Parks programme has been sourced from external parties, and this is ongoing. On current phasing, we don not expect

Living Laboratory Aspirationally, via projects in the Corridor we seek to open up new revenue lines for Council, or uncover cost-effective methods of dealing with contamination that

• Ongoing OPEX We are starting to explore opportunities to fund the ongoing operational costs of the Corridor via the

LOOKING AHEAD





TIMELINE AND NEXT STEPS

Scheme design updated Q2/3 2025

Application submitted under Fast-track legislation. Q1 2026

Anticipated fast-track decision Q2/3 2026

Significant accelerated progression of priority projects following decision

DELIVERY 5 YEAR TIMEFRAME



DELIVERY PROGRAMME AND PROGRESS





QUESTIONS?