

# ESSC

Judging Criteria English Social Solution Contest – 2024  
(Contestants version)

# Slides

- Objective
- Time Restriction / Rating Table
- Judging criteria
  - Concept / feasibility
  - Execution
  - Presentation
- Scoring Work Sheet

# Objective

*'To provide an opportunity to Taiwan students to develop leadership, presentation and communication skills through pitching a project identifying and addressing a social need in their community which can be realized within one year'*

# Time Restriction / Rating Table

The first round are video presentations

- Time limit for video presentations: minimum 5 minutes, maximum 7 minutes.

The presentations for the semifinals and final rounds are live

- Time limit for these presentations are minimum 7 minutes and maximum 10 minutes.
- The Head Judge keeps time. For each minute under- or overtime 3 points are deducted from the total score.
- Rating table: 1 – missed or poor, 2 – insufficient, 3 – adequate, 4 – good, 5 - excellent

# Judging Criteria

## Concept / Feasibility

40% of total score.

- Issue clear and concise - *what*
- Community relevant to ESSC – *where* and *who*
- Distinct objective - how is success measured?
- Strategy to reach goal - feasible, innovative, effective

# Judging Criteria

## Execution

### 40% total score

- Actionable – realistic plan which can be realized by presenter or presenting team
- Execution plan adequately addresses issue presented in introduction
- Necessary resources identified – including funding
- Project management – timeline, milestones, deliverables

# Judging Criteria

## Presentation

### 20% total score

- Speech has an introduction, body and conclusion. Introduction includes a self-introduction Clear vocal communication in English (grammar and correct pronunciation not rated)
- Physical presentation - appearance, body language. Quality of video or slides - entertaining and meet the criteria set for these.

# Scoring Work Sheet

**Team name / number:**  
**Judge:**

**Team name / number:**

**Judge:**

Rating table: 1 – missed or poor, 2 – insufficient, 3 – adequate, 4 – good, 5 - excellent	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Concept / feasibility: 40%</b>					
<b>Issue clear and concise - <i>what</i></b>					
<b>Community relevant to ESSC – <i>where</i> and <i>who</i></b>					
<b>Distinct objective- how is success measured?</b>					
<b>Strategy to reach goal - feasible, innovative, effective</b>					
<b>Execution: 40%</b>					
<b>Actionable – realistic plan which can be realized by presenter or presenting team</b>					
<b>Execution plan adequately addresses issue presented in introduction</b>					
<b>Necessary resources identified – including funding for large projects</b>					
<b>Project management – timeline, milestones, deliverables</b>					
<b>Presentation: 20%</b>					
<b>Speech has an introduction, body and conclusion. Introduction includes self-introduction. Clear vocal communication in English (grammar and correct pronunciation not rated)</b>					
<b>Physical presentation - appearance, body language. Quality of video or slides - entertaining and meet the criteria set for these.</b>					
<b>Comments</b>					