ESSC

Judging Criteria English Social Solution Contest – 2025 (Contestants version)

Slides

- Objective
- Time Restriction / Rating Table
- Judging criteria
 - Concept / feasibility
 - Execution
 - Presentation
- Scoring Work Sheet

Objective

To provide an opportunity to Taiwan students to develop leadership, presentation and communication skills through pitching a project identifying and addressing a social need in their community which can be realized within one year.

Time Restriction / Rating Table

The **first round** are video presentations:

• Time limit for **video** presentations: minimum 5 minutes, maximum 7 minutes.

The **second round** (the semifinals and final rounds) are **live** presentations, including video:

- Time limit for these presentations are minimum 7 minutes and maximum 10 minutes.
- The Head Judge keeps time. For each minute under- or overtime 3 points are deducted from the total score.
- Rating table: 1 missed or poor, 2 insufficient, 3 adequate, 4 good, 5 excellent.

Judging Criteria

Concept / Feasibility

25% of total score

- Issue clear and concise what?
- Community relevant to ESSC where and who?
- Distinct objective how is success measured?
- Strategy to reach goal feasible, innovative, effective?

Judging Criteria Execution

25% total score

- Actionable realistic plan which can be realized by presenter or presenting team?
- Execution plan adequately addresses issue presented in introduction?
- Necessary resources identified including funding?
- Project management timeline, milestones, deliverables?

Judging Criteria Presentation

50% total score

- Speech has an introduction, body and conclusion. Introduction includes a self-introduction. Clear vocal communication in English (grammar and correct pronunciation not rated). Enthusiasm and fluency are evaluated as well.
- Physical presentation appearance, body language. Quality of video or slides entertaining and meet the criteria set for these.

Scoring Work Sheet

Team name / number:

Judge:

Rating table: 1 – missed or poor, 2 – insufficient, 3 – adequate, 4 – good, 5 - excellent		T .		
Concept / feasibility: 25%				
ssue clear and concise - what?				
Community relevant to ESSC – where and who?				
Distinct objective- how is success measured?				
Strategy to reach goal - feasible, innovative, effective?				
Execution: 25%			+	+
Actionable – realistic plan which can be realized by presenter or presenting team?				
execution plan adequately addresses issue presented in introduction?				
Necessary resources identified – including funding for large projects?				
Project management – timeline, milestones, deliverables?				
Presentation: 50%				
Speech has an introduction, body and conclusion. Introduction includes self-introduction. Clear vocal				
communication in English (grammar and correct pronunciation not rated). Enthusiasm and fluency are				
evaluated as well.				
Physical presentation - appearance, body language. Quality of video or slides - entertaining and meet th	ie			
criteria set for these.				
Comments				
communication in English (grammar and correct pronunciation not rated). Enthusiasm and fluency are evaluated as well. Physical presentation - appearance, body language. Quality of video or slides - entertaining and meet th	ie e			